UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,026	08/10/2006	Harald Buchegger	BUCHEGGER-1 PCT	4165
25889 COLLARD & I	7590 08/06/200 ROE, P.C.		EXAMINER	
1077 NORTHE	RN BOULEVARD		SULLIVAN, MATTHEW J	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAN DATE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/589,026	BUCHEGGER, HARALD		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MATTHEW SULLIVAN	3677		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrate 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine 1.	own from consideration.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/10/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montagner, U.S. Patent 6,161,254 (12/19/00) in view of Schuchard, U.S. Patent 6,505,933 (1/14/03).

Montagner teaches a hinge part (7) held in a displaceable manner in a longitudinal direction of the temple in a housing (1) on the temple side, a fixture (3', 3") which projects from the hinge part in the direction of displacement, engages in an opening in the housing (1) and comprising a fixture rod (3) and a transversal bar at the end of the fixture rod (5, 5'), two helical springs provided laterally adjacent the fixture rod (6) and parallel thereto inserted into a housing bore (fig. 6, 1') and resting with their ends at the hinge side on an abutment associated with the housing (Col 4, Lines 43-48) and open toward the housing opening for the fixture rod. Montagner does not teach the housing bores each receiving a locking element forming the abutment for the helical spring. Schuchard does teach a locking element (11) forming the abutment for a helical spring (10). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Montagner with the features as taught by Schuchard because a

Art Unit: 3677

replaceable locking element would allow the abutment to be replaced if the abutment were to wear out due to high cycling.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montagner and Schuchard as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Montalban, U.S. Patent 6,152,562 (11/28/00).

All the aspects of the instant invention are disclosed above but for the locking element being screwed into the housing bore. Montalban does teach a locking element (24) being screwed into a housing bore (Col 3, Lines 65-67). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Montagner and Schuchard with the features as taught by Montalban because a screw fastening for the locking element is well known in the art and would be easily to install and remove.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW SULLIVAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5218. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 8:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor D. Batson can be reached on 571-272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,026 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Victor Batson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3677

/MATTHEW SULLIVAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 3677